 671-647-1867 1 1 2 3 4 	Diffice of the Speaker Judith T. Yon Paj. Ed.D Date of the Speaker Date of the Speaker BEFORE Tussed Of Civil SERVIC GU AM BOARD OF COM	CE COMMISSION	
5	IN THE MATTER OF:	ADVERSE ACTION APPEAL 14-AA04D	
7	BETH PEREZ,		
8	Employees,	DECISION AND JUDGMENT	
9	vs.	Wilkon of the Logislative Secreta.	
₁₀	DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION,	Sanator Line Bose Multe Barne:	
11	Management.	Time 12 56 Barrie +	
12			
13	I. INTRODUCTION		
14	This matter came before the Civil Service Commission of Guam for a hearing on the		
15	merits at its regularly scheduled meetings held on October 6, 8, 13, 15, 20, 21, and 22, 2015.		
16	Present with Beth Perez, "Employee," were her attorneys of record, Christopher R. Odoca and		
17	Richard L. Johnson, of Blair Sterling Johnson & Martinez, PC. Robert Koss, Lay		
18	Representative, and Joe Sanchez, Deputy Superintendent of C&II, and Christopher Anderson,		
19	Deputy Superintendent of Assessment and Accountability (Acting) were present on behalf of the		
20	Department of Education ("DOE"), hereafter "Man	agement".	
21	II. JURISDI	CTION	
22	The Civil Service Commission has jurisdic	tion over this matter pursuant to the Organic	
23	Act of Guam, Title 4 of the Guam Code Annotated §4401, et seq., and the Department of		
24	Education's Personnel Rules and Regulations.		
25	1225 Beth Perez vs DOE; Case No. 14-AA64D Decision and Judgment	ORIGINAL	

01:31:02 p.m. 01	1-08-2016
------------------	-----------

3	2

· 671-647-18	67 01:31:02 p.m. 01-08-2016
њ У	,
1	
2	
	III. FACTUAL BACKGROUND
3	1. On Saturday, November 16, 2013, Department of Public Health and Social Services
4	Division of Environmental Health, Public Works CIP Engineers, the Guam Fire
5	Department, Guam Environmental Protection Agency, conducted assessments of Simon
6	Sanchez High School at the request of the Governor of Guam stemming from expressed
7	public concerns for the health, safety and welfare of the students attending the school.
8	Each regulatory agency subsequently submitted individual reports reflecting findings
9	within their respective jurisdiction.
10	2. The findings of these regulatory agencies warranted the immediate shut down of the
11	school until various health and safety concerns pertaining to the cleanliness and existing
12	fire safety hazards could be mitigated. The Superintendent of Education voluntarily
13	closed the school.
14	3. On January 6, 2013, Beth Perez was served a Final Notice of Adverse Action that
15	demoted her from Principal at Simon Sanchez High School (Pay Grade RT, Step 14,
16	\$85,108 per annum) to L.P. Untalan Middle School Assistant Principal, (Pay Grade OT,
17	Step 18, \$79,555 per annum) on the basis of her failure to perform duties and
18	responsibilities to ensure that a clean and safe school environment was maintained at
19	Simon Sanchez High School on November 16, 2013. The specific charge was stemmed
20	from eighteen specific findings set forth in detail by the Department of Public Health
21	Environmental Division pertaining to the cleanliness of the school and Guam Fire
22	Department pertaining to fire safety violations found at the school and that were properly
23	within the responsibility and means of the school principal.
24	

2

647-186	7 01:31:15 p.m. 01-08-2016	
۰ ۲		
2		
	IV. FINDINGS	
3	After a careful consideration of the evidence in this case and after hearing oral arguments of the	
4	parties and testimony from witnesses, the Commission finds as follow:	
5	1. Employee had adequate written notice of her responsibility and duty to maintain a clean	
6	and safe school at:	
7	A. 1 GCA §715 Adequate public education means public schools which at the minimum	
8	provide:	
9	(g) potable water sufficient to provide each student a daily ration of drinking and	
10	washing water;	
11	(i) proper sanitation to include clean restrooms and classrooms	
12	(1) a healthful, safe, sanitary learning environment; and,	
13	B. Board Policy 620 School Facilities: "The school principal will be charged with	
14	overseeing the care and upkeep of the facility."	
15	C. Guam Administrator Standards 3.20: "The administrator facilitates processes and	
16	engages in activities ensuring that a safe, secure and clean school environment is created	
17	and maintained."	
18	D. Various Memorandums and Bulletins submitted into evidence provided notice to all	
19	Principals that DOE has a clear expectation that all Principals shall maintain clean	
20	restrooms, classrooms, hallways, organize storage rooms, clear electrical rooms, school	
21	yards must clear of debris, grass must be cut, fire extinguishers must be full, maintained	
22	and in place, and to obtain regular trash pickup service and keep the area clear of trash,	
23	locker rooms and showers will be clean, clean water fountains, toilet paper will be	
24	provided in addition to soap and paper towels for the restrooms.	
25	3 Beth Perez vs DOE; Case No. 14-AA04D	

3/6

Doc. No. 33GL-16-1225

01:31:31 p.m. E. Employee attended training directly on point and conducted by the Department of 1 Public Health and Social Services, Environmental Division. 2 2. Employee testified at hearing that she was aware that is was her duties and responsibilities to 3 maintain a clean and safe school environment. 4 3. The health and safety violations cited by the regulatory agencies were not disputed in the 5 appeal proceedings and reports generated by regulatory agencies are construed to be reliable 6 information for the purposes of adverse action appeals before the Civil Service Commission. 7 4. Management's action to demote the employee to an Assistant Principal was reasonable 8 based on: (1) the employee failed to perform her duties and responsibilities to ensure that a Q clean and safe school was maintained; (2) the Employee had clear notice that it is her duty and 10 responsibility to ensure a clean and safe school environment was maintained; (3) the Employee 11 was provided with adequate training; (4) the Employee's failure was a repeated violation; (5) 12 in her position of Principal, the Employee had adequate human resources available and 13 adequate authority to direct them; (5) a contracted cleaning services was provided to assist the 14 employee in maintaining a clean and safe school; (6) a contracted grounds maintenance 15 services was in place; (7) a trash removal services was either available or should have been 16 retained by the Employee for the regular removal of refuse; (8) adequate financial resources 17 were provided and available to the employee for ensuring a clean and safe school was 18 maintained; and, (9) other community based resources were also available to the Employee. 19 V. ANALYSIS 20In her defense, the Employee did not contest that the numerous violations actually occurred. The defense presented instead an attempt to justify the violations through various 22excuses. These primarily consisted of allegations that: 1) DOE provided Employee with

4/6

01-08-2016

inadequate resources to maintain Simon Sanchez; 2) the building's structure itself was old and in 24

Beth Perez vs DOE; Case No. 14-AA04D **Decision and Judgment**

21

23

25

671-647-1867

5/6

disrepair; 3) the night before the inspection there was a large school event: "Shark Night"; 4) the inspection took place on a Saturday; 5) the cleaning crew provided to maintain Simon Sanchez was lazy and incompetent; and, 6) Ms. Perez had numerous times gone above and beyond the call of duty to maintain the school as best she could given the above.

Even taking into account "Shark Night," the age of the building, and the cleaning crew, there were numerous violations that had nothing to do with the above excuses. Without recounting all of the examples, one is the inadequately serviced fire extinguishers. Service of fire extinguishers is not the role of the cleaning crew, has nothing to do with the structural integrity of the building, and would not be involved in "Shark Night" occurring the night before or the fact that the inspection took place on Saturday. Thus, even if we accepted the veracity of Employee's excuses, there remained items within her control that were not fulfilled.

In regards to the cleaning crew, we also note that not everything in her power appeared to be attempted by Employee. There is a trail of emails from Ms. Perez to DOE bemoaning her perceived inadequacies of the cleaning crew. Yet, more could have been done than complaints alone. Itemization of the work done on the cleaning contract could have resulted in percentages of payments being withheld from the cleaners. Hitting the cleaning crew in the pocketbook would likely have given them greater incentive to do a more vigorous job over mere complaints. Such attempts at pecuniary suppression do not appear to have been made by Employee.

We do note that this is not a critique of Ms. Perez herself. It should be recognized that she was not terminated. Rather, Ms. Perez was transferred from principal of Simon Sanchez, earning approximately \$85k/year, to assistant principal of another school with a salary of approximately \$80k/year. Obviously DOE continues to value her judgment and ability and we see no need to question it either. It is clear from the evidence that Ms. Perez worked very hard. Being Principal of Simon Sanchez is probably one of the most difficult positions on Guam,

25 Beth Perez vs DOE; Case No. 14-AA04D Decision and Judgment

671-647-1867

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

5

şak

	requiring a great deal of diligence, creativity, ingenuity, innovation, and fortitude to accomplish		
4	successfully.		
	Ultimately, the position of principal is the pinnacle of authority at a school and the back		
4	must stop somewhere. It is a position that individuals volunteer to take on and one they can elect		
5	to step down from if they believe they cannot fulfill the requirements given the resources		
6	provided. Being principal of a school is akin to being in a senior management position in DOE.		
7	If the job is not being done and the Superintendent believes another can do it with the same		
8	resources, then DOE needs the flexibility to ensure that schools are properly run and maintained.		
9	VI. CONCLUSION		
10	The Civil Service Commission, by a vote of 4-1 rule that management met its burden of proof by		
11	clear and convincing evidence to show that its action was proper to hold this school principal		
12	accountable for the health and safety violations that were well within the employee's responsibility		
13	and means to address. The demotion of the employee to Assistant Principal is upheld.		
14	It is so ordered this The day of Junuary 2016.		
15	It is so ordered this day of, 2016.		
16	EDITH PANCEL INAN DANIEL VEOD CLEADER		
17	Chairman Commissioner		
18	PRISCILLA T. TUNCAP JOHN SMITH		
19	PRISCILLA T. TUNCAP / JOHN SMITH Commissioner Commissioner		
20	LOURDES HONGYEE CATHERINE GAVLE		
21	LOURDES HONGYEE CATHERINE GAYLE Commissioner Commissioner		
22			
23			
24			
25	6 Beth Perez vs DOE; Case No. 14-AA04D Decision and Judgment		